

Evaluation Report of the Workshop on "Increasing Investments for AMR R&D" based on online survey

After the workshop on 'Increasing Investments for AMR R&D' all external attendees (n=75) were invited by email to complete an online survey and to provide feedback on workshop outcomes, gauge the utility and success of the event, determine useful future themes and how future events could be improved. The survey was completed by 25 attendees, a response rate of one third, which is considered satisfactory.

Overall, attendees were satisfied with the event (Fig.1a) and responded that the right mix of attendees were present (Fig.1b). The attendees reported that the event provided good networking opportunities and relevant information and mostly achieved the expected outcomes (Fig.1a). In general, the format and quality of the sessions were well perceived (Fig.2a, Fig.2b). Based on the open-ended comments the break-out session could be improved (see below). The attendees also responded that the panel discussion was useful with relevant information and about the right length of time (Fig.2c).

In their open-ended comments on what attendees found most useful, the One Health approach, group work, discussing the animal AMR issues and financing and pilot models were emphasised as highlights of the event. Several attendees also found the panel discussion and networking useful.

The workshop attendees had specific recommendations for improving future workshops by providing more time e.g. for discussions and break-out groups and either considering to extend the workshop over 1.5/2 day or having a leaner programme. Additional background information, clearer directions for break-out session assignments and more working groups were also recommended. In addition, there were suggestions about focusing the workshop on a particular issue in order to achieving a specific outcome, commitment or action following the meeting (e.g. have Hub member countries commit to specific actions).

Suggestions for themes to be addressed in future events organised by the Global AMR R&D Hub included specific sessions on pull incentives, including country/government action, financing and intellectual property issues, innovative business models, focus on AMR R&D in Africa and LMIC, TB and environment and concrete examples of public private partnerships.

Figures

Unless otherwise labelled, the columns represent a scale from one to five with one being lowest or worst (dark blue), three being neutral (grey) and five being best or highest (light blue) score.

Figure 1a: Overall meeting feedback




Figure 1b: Feedback on Attendees Affiliation




Figure 2a: Rating on Quality and Usefulness of Sessions Format




Figure 2b: Rating on Length of Sessions




Figure 2c: Rating on Panel Discussion

